Scientist: Directed energy weapons turned World Trade Center into nanoparticles on 9/11

Wiki commons: WTC building exhibiting molecular dissociation during controlled demolition on 9/11 By Alfred Lambremont Webre, JD, MEd

This is the second of a multi-part series on secret technologies, their application to the events of September 11, 2001, and the consequent implications for our society.

In his article "False Flag Operations, 9-11 and the Exopolitical Perspective" Dr. Michael E. Salla states, "The fourth exopolitical factor [of false flag operations] concerns the use of weather modification technologies that former Secretary of State William Cohen confirmed as existing in 1997." The "weather modification technologies" Dr. Salla is referring to include directed energy weapons which, by the <u>evidence</u>, have been used in scalar, weather warfare and seismic false flag operations such as December 26, 2004 (Boxing Day) Indonesian Tsunami, the China earthquake of May 12, 2008, the Haiti earthquake of January 12, 2010, and the Chile earthquake of February 27, 2010.

<u>Dr. Judy Wood</u>, a former assistant professor at Clemson University, has developed compelling evidence that a directed energy weapon turned the physical matter of the World Trade Center towers into nanoparticles through the process of molecular dissociation. Dr. Wood demonstrates clear <u>evidence</u> that cannot be accounted for by the official <u>9/11 Commission</u> explanation or alternative theory of military planes, cruise missiles, or other projectiles hitting the World Trade Center buildings, or a controlled demolition caused solely by "advanced explosive <u>nano-thermitic</u> composite material found in the World Trade Center dust," or solely by 4th generation <u>mini-nukes</u>.

In a February 22, 2010 Washington Times <u>article</u>, Richard Gage, a San Francisco architect and founder of the nonprofit <u>Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth</u>, states, "'Government officials will be notified that 'Misprision of Treason,' U.S. Code 18 (Sec. 2382), is a serious federal offense, which requires those with evidence of treason to act,' Mr. Gage says. 'The implications are enormous and may have profound impact on the forthcoming Khalid Shaikh Mohammed trial.'"

The trial of Khalid Shaikh Mohammed may soon begin (subject to reported political negotiations with Republicans), as U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder <u>testified</u> before a U.S. House appropriations subcommittee on March 16, 2010 that "a decision will be made in a matter of weeks on where to try the alleged mastermind of the Sept. 11 attacks," indicating that the Obama administration has a pre-determined position on the evidence that the events of September 11, 2001 were a false flag operation.

<u>United States v. Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, et al.</u> "is the upcoming trial of five alleged Al-Qaeda members for aiding the September 11, 2001 attacks. Charges were announced by Brigadier General Thomas W. Hartmann on February 11, 2008 at a press conference at the Pentagon. The men charged are Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, Mohammed al Qahtani, Ramzi Binalshibh, Ammar al-Baluchi, and Mustafa al-Hawsawi. In an 88-page complaint, the group was charged with attacking civilians, attacking civilian objects, intentionally causing serious bodily injury, murder in violation of the law of war, destruction of property in violation of the law of war, hijacking or hazarding a vessel or aircraft, terrorism, and providing material support for terrorism. If convicted, the five will face the death penalty."

Regardless of whether U.S. President Barack H. Obama chooses to have these defendants tried in a U.S. Military Commission or in a U.S. Federal court, the evidence amassed by such researchers as Dr. Judy Wood and Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth satisfies the burden of proof that the events of September 11, 2001 were, beyond a reasonable doubt, a false flag operation. Consequently, one can characterize the forthcoming trial in <u>United States v.</u> <u>Khalid Sheikh Mohammed, et al</u> as a political show trial, no different in effect – the wrongful execution of the defendants and the attempted hoodwinking of the U.S. and world population – from other political show trials in recent history.

This article in the continuing 9/11 series examines the evidence for the use of secret advanced directed energy weapons in the molecular dissociation of the World Trade Center buildings into nanoparticles on September 11, 2001. The article discusses the implications of this evidence, in light of Andrew D. Basiago's eyewitness <u>evidence</u> that a secret DARPA time travel program, whose defense liaison was then Nixon Cabinet Secretary Donald H. Rumsfeld, was in physical possession images of the World Trade Center 9/11 events in 1971, 30 years before the actual events occurred on September 11, 2001. Mr. Rumsfeld, of course, was U.S. Secretary of Defense on 9/11.

The World Trade Center and directed energy weapons on 9/11

<u>Dr. Judy Wood</u> has developed multiple lines of evidence that demonstrate beyond a reasonable doubt that the molecular dissociation of the physical matter of the World Trade Center towers into nanoparticles on September 11, 2001 was the product of a directed energy weapon. Readers are urged to review this evidence <u>here</u>.

9/11 and the laws of evidence

Application of the law of witness and documentary <u>evidence</u> may be a methodology leading to breakthroughs in evaluating a new generation of data now emerging regarding use of secret advanced technologies, such as quantum access time travel and teleportation, and secret directed energy weapons on 9/11.

The basic standard in evaluating witness and documentary evidence in the common law is the standard of "reasonableness." This standard can be stated as "what would a reasonable person conclude?" When a jury in a court of common law is determining a question of fact, as to whether secret advanced technologies, such as quantum access time travel and teleportation, and secret directed energy weapons on 9/11, the members of the jury resort to the standard of reasonableness in weighing direct and expert witnesses, and documentary evidence.

Evidence and the burden of proof - The principle of reasonableness

<u>Witness evidence</u> - One source summarizes the principles of the law of witness evidence as follows: "In systems of proof based on the English common law tradition, almost all evidence must be sponsored by a witness, who has sworn or solemnly affirmed to tell the truth. The bulk of the law of evidence regulates the types of evidence that may be sought from witnesses and the manner in which the interrogation of witnesses is conducted during direct examination and crossexamination of witnesses. Other types of evidentiary rules specify the standards of persuasion (e.g., proof beyond a reasonable doubt) that a trier of fact such as a jury must apply when it assesses evidence."

<u>Documentary evidence</u> - Documentary, including photographic evidence can be treated, according to one source, as follows: "Evidence of an indirect nature which implies the existence of the main fact in question but does not in itself prove it. That is, the existence of the main fact is deduced from the indirect or circumstantial evidence by a process of probable reasoning. The introduction of a defendant's fingerprints or DNA sample are examples of circumstantial evidence. The fact that a defendant had a motive to commit a crime is circumstantial evidence. It is possible to argue that all evidence is ultimately circumstantial, on the premises that no experience whatsoever can directly prove a fact. Recall, however, that courts of law deal with what is reasonable, not with ontology."

"The principal questions of <u>ontology</u> are 'What can be said to exist?' and 'Into what categories, if any, can we sort existing things?"

Witness and documentary evidence that advanced, directed energy weapons were used on the WTC on 9/11

Evidentiary sample: directed energy weapons & WTC buildings

One <u>researcher</u> draws on Dr. Wood's work and sets out a sample of documentary and witness evidence sufficient to conclude that an advanced directed energy weapon was used on the World Trade Center (WTC) buildings on 9/11.

9/11 documentary evidence of use of directed energy weapons at WTC

1. <u>Seismic Record</u>: "The energy budget recorded in the seismic record on the day of 9/11 and the collapse of the WTC buildings did not reflect the mass of building materials involved in the collapse, nor the nearly freefall of the collapsing buildings. The seismic record demonstrates an event on the scale of a quarry blast. Where was the thud [that would have accompanied a WTC building collapse]?"

2. <u>Kinetic Energy</u>: "Two robust WTC buildings with very robust steel beam reinforcement would release a sizeable amount of kinetic energy from the buildings falling – that would be all of the energy that went into the construction of the WTC buildings. The release of that amount of kinetic energy is not reflected in the physical evidence on the seismic record. Into what physical process did that kinetic energy go?"

3. <u>Molecular Dissociation</u>: "The collapse of the WTC buildings produced the highest mass per volume of very fine particles (nanoparticles) ever measured in an air sample in the United States. It takes a tremendous amount of energy to dissociate or break the molecular bonds of steel, concrete and other building materials that were "powdered" into very fine particles during the collapse of the buildings. The largest mass per volume of metals ever measured in an air sample in the US were reported by Dr. Thomas Cahill who did air monitoring for 5 months. Metal is used in buildings because it is very strong – and would require large amounts of energy to produce that volume of very fine particles. A much more energetic process was involved such as laser or beam energy which releases focused and concentrated energy as complex waveforms necessary to cause molecular dissociation."

4. <u>Physical Evidence of Buildings Collapsing</u>: "In videos of the collapses on 9/11 the WTC buildings erupted into emulsion like a drinking fountain, and the rubble did not hit the ground. Even on tape huge pieces of aluminum building siding vaporized as they were freefalling, and never hit the ground."

5. <u>Controlled Demolition</u>: "The [collapse of the WTC buildings] was not a 'controlled demolition' for the following reasons:

<u>WTC Detritus Pile</u> - "Should have been 1/3 the height of the WTC buildings. The 100+ story WTC buildings were about 1 story high when the collapse of the buildings ended. A fireman on one of the news videos said the antenna that had been on the top of WTC Building 1 was on the top of a pile of rubble about one story high. The rubble pile should have been 35 stories if it was a conventional controlled demolition."

<u>WTC Rubble Never Hit the Ground</u> – "This defies gravity, where did the rubble go?"

<u>Footprints</u> – "Geometrically Round Holes: contiguous round holes 24' in diameter were in the footprints of the WTC buildings 1 and 2, and a 60' deep geometrically round hole was in the middle of Liberty Street near the WTC. This is evidence of beam weapons. There was no debris inside the footprints of the two WTC buildings, only bare dirt with circles in the dirt."

<u>Dust</u> - "In videos of the collapse larger particles fell and cascaded down from the buildings under the forces of gravity, but before they hit the ground they vaporized and suddenly went up into the atmosphere like an antigravity demonstration. Nanoparticles are so tiny that they are not subject to the forces of gravity, so molecular dissociation occurred on the larger particles as they were freefalling, reducing them into nano-particles (0.1 microns in diameter and smaller) that suddenly obeyed other physical laws of quantum mechanics. More evidence of [directed energy weapon or] HAARP/beam weapon technology applied during collapse."

<u>9/11 Satellite photos</u> - "[9/11 satellite photographs showed] dust going into upper atmosphere –which had to be nanoparticles and may have been enhanced by other technology because most very fine particles/dust would stay in the Troposphere and be rained out in 2 months as we know from depleted uranium particulate releases from battlefield already."

<u>1400 Toasted Cars</u> - "Located blocks from the WTC buildings, with door handles missing, engine blocks missing, blistering on some parts of the car finish, strange rust patterns on the bodies of the cars."

<u>Paper Around Cars Not Burned</u> - "Whatever 'vaporized' the engine blocks and the door handles on 1400 cars did not ignite fragile and flammable paper lying all over the ground around the cars. If engine blocks and door handles selectively vaporized, why didn't body of car vaporize?"

<u>Pile of Cars Spontaneously Combusted</u> - "In a news video, the entire pile of cars started burning spontaneously at the same time with no visible cause, it was not a fire that started in one car and spread to others."

<u>Rust Occurred Immediately</u> - "On cars and trucks, and in FEMA photos there was heavy rust on steel beams – steel does not rust, and it is a slow oxidation process that results in rusting of iron. This rusting happened immediately."

<u>Basements Of WTC Buildings Undamaged</u> - "Stuffed mannequins in the basement of WTC with clothing on were carried out of the basement undamaged. If a 100+-story WTC building collapsed into its basement and left a 35-story rubble pile, there would be nothing left in the basement. Even streetcars underground at the WTC were pulled out after the collapse and had no damage." <u>Prof. Cahill Air Monitoring Samples</u> - "The hardest and most durable materials vaporized (steel, concrete etc), and the most fragile materials (paper) cascading out of WTC windows and all over the ground for blocks were undamaged."

<u>Truckloads of Potting Soil</u> - "Right after the WTC disaster, the ground was "fuming", and sequential FEMA aerial photos show 130 dump trucks full of soil (filled almost to the top of the dump truck space) covered with tarps so that the dirt in the trucks was not visible to onlookers on the street, coming into the WTC area, dumping the soil and going out for more. This happened even before the rescues or cleanup started and it continued for some time. The piles of soil were left for a week and got higher each day in sequential photos. The soil 'fuming' lasted until March 2002 (8 months)."

<u>Boots Disintegrated</u> – "Boots on emergency responders disintegrated after 2 hours. They had to get new boots every 2 hours – an effect of molecular dissociation. It was not from burning, their skin would have been damaged."

<u>No Ground Fuming During Rain</u> - "For 99 days the "burning" (fuming) continued at the WTC site, but when it rained there was no fuming. If it had been fires burning, the rain would have caused steam from heating rainwater."

<u>USGS: Iron versus Steel</u> - "The USGS analyzed the mineral form of the rust on steel beams and iron objects at the WTC. They did not address the 'steel does not rust' issue, but dodged it by referring to the rusted steel beams in the rubble pile as 'iron beams' and gave mineral analyses of iron minerals produced by oxidation."

<u>Official Sample Data Not Reliable</u> – "Spectral absorption images at the WTC indicated average particle size was about 1 micron, which is subjected to gravity and would have fallen with the collapsed buildings. USGS did not collect many dust samples and collecting samples by other different agencies did not agree with each other. No samples were taken at the toasted cars."

<u>Directed Energy Weapon Evidence</u> - "Evidence of use of a directed energy weapon was present at the top of the WTC buildings as 'lathering up' started before buildings started coming down. <u>'Lathering up'</u> in videos preceded the collapse of all buildings, even Building 7 which supposedly Larry Silverstein when he said, 'Pull it' to firefighters meant controlled demolition. Color alteration and modification in news videos compared to other photos/videos at tops of buildings indicates "doctoring" of images. When the Seattle Dome was destroyed with controlled demolition, the dust created by the destruction did not get any higher than the top of the building. The WTC dust got into the upper atmosphere almost immediately – which indicates very tiny atmospheric dust sized particles (0.1 micron and smaller), in fact smaller than atmospheric dust that stays mainly in the Troposphere. <u>"Lathering Up" Incriminating</u> - "WTC Building 7 <u>'lathered up'</u> even before WTC Building 2 went down. WTC Building 7 not damaged at all by WTC Building 2 going down – right next to each other."

<u>Freon tanks</u> - "Very odd, large tanks were removed from WTC building and OSHA made bogus statement about what and why they were removed. Was freon used in WTC takedown? A NYC cop whom the researcher met with Cindy Sheehan said he was injured in WTC Building 7 and removed on a gurney, but his eyes were covered so he could not see anything as they left the building. He reported he was able to see dead bodies lying all over the floor as he was being carried out – before building 7 had collapsed. Was this due to Freon asphyxiation put through ventilation system like in a recent Russian submarine disaster?

9/11 witness evidence of use of directed energy weapons at WTC

<u>Where Were All the WTC Bodies?</u> - "Emergency Room physicians Dr. Tony Daher and Dr. Lincoln Cleaver were interviewed on TV on 9/11 about the casualties. They said there weren't any casualties after about noon on 9/11. No more casualties came to the Emergency Room. Firemen saw no bodies but talked about the antenna on top of building 1 that was at ground level on top of about one story of rubble."

<u>No Fires In Buildings</u> – "William Rodriguez, Senior Janitor at WTC said there were no fires in the buildings. He conducted rescue efforts, saved injured workers and had keys to every lock in both buildings."

<u>Livermore Nuclear Weapons Lab Beam Weapon Demonstration</u> - In 1955 the microwave oven was invented and was a concept for a beam weapon. In the summer of 1990, the researcher observed a demonstration of the Livermore Shiva laser facility in the middle of the night. A 16' diameter amber colored beam was shot straight up into the sky from the lab and all air traffic was diverted for a 5 mile radius during the demonstration. When the researcher asked a grad student working at the laser facility what they were trying to do, he said, "Make a star". The researcher knew that could not be true because lasers are used to molecularly dissociate materials by releasing tremendous amounts of energy as very advanced and complex waveforms. Livermore had long been involved in development of HAARP [a directed energy weapon] since 1978 in secret collaboration with the Soviet Union, and advanced beam weapons.

<u>Minneapolis Bridge Collapse (August 1, 2007)</u> – The researcher states, "Similarities to WTC disaster, every bridge segment [in the August 1, 2007 Minneapolis bridge collapse] failed at exactly the same time instead of domino effect after first collapse. This defies the laws of engineering. It should have been one place failed and sequential domino-like failures followed for a normal engineering collapse." What are the implications of the evidentiary conclusion that an advanced directed energy weapon was used in 9/11?

1. <u>Documentary and witness evidence</u> – The documentary and witness evidence presented by <u>Dr. Judy Wood</u> and other <u>researchers</u> is sufficient to conclude, by the laws of evidence, that it is more probable than not that an advanced directed energy weapon was used on the World Trade Center buildings on September 11, 2001. Likewise, there appears to be documentary and witness evidence that the following technologies may also have been part of the false flag attack on the World Trade Center buildings: (1) "advanced explosive <u>nano-thermitic</u> composite material found in the World Trade Center dust," or (2) perhaps even <u>4th</u> <u>generation mini-nukes</u>. It is plausible that these latter two technologies were employed to mask the use of advanced directed energy weapons in the molecular dissociation of the physical matter of the World Trade Center towers, to sow doubt in any official 9/11 investigation and in the 9/11 truth movement, and thus to preserve the secrecy of directed energy technology application.

2. <u>False flag operation</u> – The use of an advanced directed energy weapon in 9/11 demonstrates beyond a reasonable doubt that 9/11 was a false flag operation. If an advanced directed energy weapon had been used by an overt foreign enemy of the United States upon U.S. soil (the World Trade Center), the U.S. military would have used every possible means to retaliate against any foreign enemy that used such an advanced directed energy weapon.

3. <u>Public Notice</u> – There is ample <u>notice</u> on the public record of Dr. Judy Wood's evidence regarding the use of directed energy weapons at the World Trade Center buildings on 9/11. Starting in March 16, 2007, Dr. Judy Wood has pursued <u>requests for correction</u> with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) regarding evidence for directed energy weapons. Dr. Wood filed a *Qui Tam* action filed at the United States District Court for the Southern District Of New York. A writ of certiorari was filed in this matter in the Supreme Court of the United States on October 14, 2009 and was recently dismissed by the U.S. Supreme Court.

4. <u>Deemed official notice</u> - The U.S. Department of Justice or a prosecutor before a U.S. Military Commission that is prosecuting the defendants in <u>United States v.</u> <u>Khalid Sheikh Mohammed et al.</u> can be reasonably deemed to have notice of the evidence that directed energy weapons were used against the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001. This <u>evidence</u> is contained in Dr. Wood's proceedings at National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), and her *Qui Tam* action U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, and the U.S. Supreme Court. Dr. Judy Wood's evidence is of public importance in the question of a trial of the alleged "masterminds" of 9/11 in which capital punishment may result. 5. <u>Political show trial</u> – Regardless of ultimate judicial venue (U.S. Federal court or U.S. Military Commission), if the trial of the defendants in <u>United States v.</u> <u>Khalid Sheikh Mohammed et al.</u> proceeds without the Department of Justice prosecutors, the U.S. Attorney, the U.S. President, the U.S. Federal Court or Military Commission, or the defense attorneys having weighed the evidence of <u>directed energy weapons</u> having been used in the World Trade Center, then a major miscarriage of justice will have taken place. It can be reasonably concluded that the trial in the matter of <u>United States v. Khalid Sheikh</u> <u>Mohammed et al.</u> is a political show trial and continued psychological operation, as was the original false flag operation of September 11, 2001.

The term <u>show trial</u> "is a pejorative description of a type of highly public trial. The term was first recorded in the 1930s. There is a strong connotation that the judicial authorities have already determined the guilt of the defendant and that the actual trial has as its only goal to present the accusation and the verdict to the public as an impressive example and as a warning. Show trials tend to be retributive rather than correctional justice. Such trials can exhibit scant regard for the principles of jurisprudence and even for the letter of the law. Defendants have little real opportunity to justify themselves: they have often signed statements under duress and/or suffered torture prior to appearing in the courtroom."

6. Use of secret quantum access time travel technologies – The eyewitness evidence of Cambridge-educated environmentalist and lawyer Andrew D. Basiago that a secret DARPA time travel program had physical possession as early as 1971 of images of the events of at the World Trade Center buildings on 9/11, 30 years in advance of the event must now be evaluated with the evidence of Dr. Judy Wood and other researchers that directed energy weapons were used at the World Trade Center buildings on 9/11. Both of secret time travel and teleportation technologies and directed energy weapons are among the most secret and the most advanced technologies in the arsenal of DARPA and the U.S. Department of Defense. The fact that a 9/11 connection has been established for both secret time travel and teleportation technologies and directed energy weapons around one individual of Cabinet rank in both 1971 and 2001 is of high evidentiary importance. By the evidence, that individual is Donald H. Rumsfeld, defense liaison to DARPA's secret time travel program and a member of U.S. President Richard M. Nixon's cabinet in 1971, and 30 years later, U.S. Secretary of Defense on September 11, 2001 with line authority over advanced directed energy weapons of the U.S. government.

7. <u>Treason or misprision of treason</u> – The next article in this multi-part series on secret technologies and 9/11 will explore the reasonable *prima facie* <u>evidence</u> for the criminal indictment and trial for treason (<u>Article III (3) of the U.S. Constitution</u>) or misprision of treason (<u>U.S. Code 18, Sec. 2382</u>), of then sitting U.S. president George W. Bush, vice president Richard B. Cheney, U.S. secretary of defense Donald H. Rumsfeld, and numerous Jane and John Does.

COPYRIGHT: Permission to use extracts & copyright notice

Source: <u>http://exopolitics.blogs.com/exopolitics/2012/02/scientistdirected-energy-weapons-</u> <u>turned-world-trade-center-into-nanoparticles-on-911-.html</u>